

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/337,243	06/22/1999	SCOTT D. MAURER	117077-4	8744
21324	7590 02/24/2004		EXAMINER	
HAHN LOESER & PARKS, LLP TWIN OAKS ESTATE			SAFAVI, MICHAEL	
	RKET STREET		ART UNIT	PAPER ŅUMBER
AKRON, OH 44313			3673	25
			DATE MAILED: 02/24/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u> </u>	Application No.	Applicant(s)	$\backslash /$			
,	09/337,243	MAURER, SCOTT D.	1			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	-			
	M. Safavi	3673	<u></u>			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) dawill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE.	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	·			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 D	ecember 2003.					
	action is non-final.					
,—						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 85-92 and 94-97 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ⊠ Claim(s) 85-87,90-92 and 94 is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 88,89 and 95-97 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.		ţ			
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). njected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	24.2 1			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat rity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:					

Art Unit: 3673

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on December 15,2003 has been entered.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 88 and 89 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 88 appears to define the claimed molding as packaged in a roll when claim 85, from which claim 88 depends, defines the claimed molding as applied to a wall and ceiling along the intersection of the wall and ceiling. It is therefore, not understood as to how the molding of claim 85 can be "packaged in a roll".

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

Art Unit: 3673

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 95 and 96 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Stewart et al.

Stewart et al. discloses, Figs. 6 and 7, a closed cell plastic foam member 11, col. 3, lines 15-17, with pressure sensitive adhesive applied along each edge thereof, col. 4, lines 67-68 and col. 5, lines 9-13. The edges of member 11 can be seen as forming first and second flat surface profiles carrying the adhesive while extending in a spaced apart substantially orthogonal relationship to one another. A front surface profile can be seen as substantially matching a rear surface profile. The central portion of member 11 can be seen as extending at an angle between the first and second orthogonal flat surface profiles.

Claims 95 and 97 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Lane et al.

Lane et al. discloses, Fig. 2, a plastic foam member 12, col. 3, lines 14-15, with pressure sensitive adhesive applied along each edge thereof, col. 4, lines 28-29 The edges of member 11 can be seen as forming first and second flat surface profiles carrying the adhesive while extending in a spaced apart substantially orthogonal

Art Unit: 3673

relationship to one another. The member 12 is attached to an intersection of a wall and ceiling 36, 38.

Claim 95 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Johnson.

Johnson discloses, Figs. 2 and 3, a plastic foam member 12 or 12/16/17 with

pressure sensitive adhesive 18 or 19 applied along each edge thereof. The edges of

member 12 or 12/16/17 can be seen as forming first and second flat surface profiles

carrying the adhesive while extending in a spaced apart substantially orthogonal

relationship to one another. A front surface profile can be seen as substantially

matching a rear surface profile. The central portion 15 of member 12 or 12/16/17 can be

seen as extending at an angle between the first and second orthogonal flat surface

profiles.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 96 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Johnson.

To have formed the Johnson member 12 or 12/16/17 of a closed cell foam, thus providing the desired durable quality of the molding, would have constituted an obvious expedient to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made.

Art Unit: 3673

Claims 95 and 96 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Eckel et al. in view of Johnson.

Eckel et al. discloses, Fig. 2, a monolithic plastic molding having front side and rear side with a first layer of pressure sensitive adhesive along a first flat surface profile of the rear side and a second layer of pressure sensitive adhesive along a second flat surface profile of the rear side. A central portion, (e.g., 4), of the molding extends between the first flat surface profile and the second flat surface profile at an angle between. The first flat surface profile can be seen as oriented about perpendicular to the second flat surface profile. A front surface profile can be seen as substantially matching a rear surface profile.

Johnson discloses a molding 12 or 12/16/17 formed of a foam material with the molding possessing adhesive strips 19 along edges of a rear side thereof. Release material for the adhesive strips is shown at 20.

To have formed the Eckel et al. molding of a foam, in particular a closed cell foam, including a polyethylene foam, thus providing a light weight molding with sufficient cushioning properties, would have constituted an obvious expedient to one having ordinary skill in the art as taught by Johnson.

Claims 85-87, 90-92, and 94 are allowed.

Art Unit: 3673

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to M. Safavi whose telephone number is (703) 308-2481. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Thur., 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Heather Shackelford can be reached on (703) 308-2978. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MICHAEL SAFAVI PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 354

M. Safavi February 23, 2003